



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/895,577 | 06/28/2001 | Sachin U. Naik | 200304448-1 | 6934 |

22879 7590 10/30/2007

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

MOORE JR, MICHAEL J

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2619

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

10/30/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/895,577

Applicant(s)

NAIK ET AL.

Examiner

Michael J. Moore, Jr.

Art Unit

2619

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 August 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6 and 17-21 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Amendments made by Applicant to claims **9 and 10** to obviate the claim objections presented in the previous Office Action are proper and have been entered. These objections have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims **1-5 and 7-16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Borella et al. (U.S. 6,643,259) (hereinafter "Borella"). *Borella* teaches all of the limitations of the specified claims with the reasoning that follows.

Regarding claim **1**, "a method for controlling data traffic over a network" is anticipated by the method shown in Figure 4 performed by the data network 10 of Figure 1.

"Transmitting a message from a first node to at least a second node of the network" is anticipated by the transmission of an initial window of data (message) by first network device 14 (first node) to second network device 16 (second node) of Figure 1 as spoken of on column 9, lines 27-30.

“Calculating an elapsed time of the transmission of the message of step (a)” is anticipated by the round trip time measurement (elapsed time) by the return trip timer as spoken of on column 9, lines 43-49.

Lastly, “determining whether the second node has replied to the message transmitted in step (a) from the first node” and “transmitting a subsequent message from the first node upon receipt of a reply from the second node or upon the elapsed time of transmission of the message exceeding an elapsed time threshold” is anticipated by the transmission of subsequent data segments upon receiving ACK signals (reply) from the second network device 16 (second node) of Figure 1 as spoken of on column 9, lines 44-49.

Regarding claim 2, “constructing the message to be transmitted” is anticipated by the setting of the congestion window to an initial window value before transmission as spoken of on column 9, lines 6-9.

Lastly, “maintaining transmission information relating to the message” is anticipated by the congestion window (transmission information) that is adjusted by the maximum segment size in response to ACKs received as spoken of on column 9, lines 44-47.

Regarding claim 3, “receiving a reply message from the at least one second node” is anticipated by the ACK signals sent from second network device 16 (second node) of Figure 1 as spoken of on column 9, lines 44-49.

Regarding claim 4, “storing the following transmission data: message size, transmission sending time and address of the at least one second node” is anticipated

Art Unit: 2619

by the maintaining of a maximum segment size on column 9, lines 43-47, packet destination address information on column 5, lines 55-59, as well as round trip time on column 9, lines 47-50.

Regarding claim 5, "detecting whether a message has been transmitted to the at least one second node" and "transmitting a subsequent message to the at least one second node upon detecting the address of the at least one second node" is anticipated by the transmission of subsequent data segments (subsequent message) by first network device 14 upon receiving ACK signals (reply) from the second network device 16 (second node) of Figure 1 as spoken of on column 9, lines 44-49 as well as the destination address information 100 (address of particular node) spoken of on column 5, lines 55-58.

Regarding claim 7, "a method for controlling the rate of transmitting over a network from a node of the network" is anticipated by the method shown in Figure 4 performed by the data network 10 of Figure 1.

"Storing information relating to the transmission of data to a node on the network" is anticipated by the congestion window (transmission information) that is first set to an initial window value and is then adjusted by the maximum segment size in response to ACKs received as spoken of on column 9, lines 44-47.

"Determining a time interval since an initiation of the data transmission" is anticipated by the round trip time measurement (time interval) by the return trip timer as spoken of on column 9, lines 43-49.

Lastly, “transmitting additional data onto the network upon receiving a reply relating to a prior data transmission or upon the time interval exceeding a threshold time interval” is anticipated by the transmission of subsequent data segments (additional data) upon receiving ACK signals (reply) from the second network device 16 (second node) of Figure 1 as spoken of on column 9, lines 44-49.

Regarding claim 8, “transmitting subsequent amounts of data to a particular node on the network upon locating an address of the particular node” is anticipated by the transmission of subsequent data segments (subsequent data) by first network device 14 upon receiving ACK signals (reply) from the second network device 16 (second node) of Figure 1 as spoken of on column 9, lines 44-49 as well as the destination address information 100 (address of particular node) spoken of on column 5, lines 55-58.

Regarding claim 9, “a first node coupled to at least one second node by a transmission medium, the first node including a storage device for storing data” is anticipated by first network device 14 (first node) coupled to second network device 16 (second node) as shown in Figure 1 that both contain a processing system and a memory (device for storage of data) as spoken of on column 3, lines 62-65.

“The first node including a processor for determining an elapsed time between data transmission” is anticipated by first network device 14 (first node) that sets a return trip timer that is used to measure the round trip time (elapsed time) as spoken of on column 9, lines 27-30, and column 9, lines 43-49.

Lastly, “wherein subsequent data is transmitted from the first node upon receipt of a reply from the at least one second node or upon the elapsed time exceeding an

Art Unit: 2619

elapsed time threshold” is anticipated by the transmission of subsequent data segments (data) upon receiving ACK signals (reply) from the second network device 16 (second node) of Figure 1 as spoken of on column 9, lines 44-49.

Regarding claim 10, “wherein the storage device stores the identifier of the nodes that the first node has transmitted data to, the first node transmitting additional data to the at least one second node before receipt of a reply upon determining that a transmission is outstanding at the at least one second node” is anticipated by the retransmission of unacknowledged packets (additional data) upon a time out (before receipt of a reply) of the first network device 14 as spoken of on column 9, lines 54-63.

Regarding claim 11, “wherein the storage device stores the size of the data transmitted to the at least one second node and the elapsed time threshold value is a function of the data size” is anticipated by the congestion window that is first set to an initial window value and is then adjusted by the maximum segment size (size of data) in response to ACKs received as spoken of on column 9, lines 44-47.

Regarding claim 12, “means for deferring transmission of messages by the first node onto the network, the deferred message(s) being transmitted upon exceeding an elapsed time threshold value” is anticipated by the retransmission of unacknowledged packets (deferred messages) upon a time out (elapsed time threshold value) of the first network device 14 as spoken of on column 9, lines 54-63.

Regarding claim 13, “means for deferring transmission of message(s) by the first node onto the network, the deferred message(s) being subsequently transmitted upon the first node receiving a reply from the at least one second node” is anticipated by the

Art Unit: 2619

transmission of subsequent data segments (deferred messages) upon receiving ACK signals (reply) from the second network device 16 (second node) of Figure 1 as spoken of on column 9, lines 44-49.

Regarding claim 14, "wherein the first node comprises a processor and the storage device is an outstanding request queue, the outstanding request queue being at least partially maintained in the processor" is anticipated by first network device 14 (first node) shown in Figure 1 that contains a CPU as spoken of on column 3, lines 62-65 as well as a buffer (queue) as spoken of on column 13, lines 60-64.

Regarding claim 15, "wherein the deferred message(s) are maintained in a deferred message queue, the deferred message queue being at least partially maintained in the first node" is anticipated by the data buffering (queue) spoken of on column 13, lines 60-64 where an accumulated congestion window of data is encapsulated and sent to the second network device 16.

Regarding claim 16, "wherein the first node and the at least one second node include a processor" is anticipated by first network device 14 (first node) coupled to second network device 16 (second node) as shown in Figure 1 that both contain a processing system as spoken of on column 3, lines 62-65.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 6 and 17-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2619

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim **6**, this claim is allowable for the reasons indicated in the previous Office Action.

Regarding claims **17, 18, and 20**, *Borella* teaches the method of claim **1**, the method of claim **7**, and the system of claim **9**, respectively. *Borella* does not teach where the elapsed time threshold is determined by a size of the data, a virtual number of nodes over the network, and a minimum transmission rate of the network.

Regarding claims **19 and 21**, these claims are allowable for the same reasons as claim **6** provided in the previous Office Action.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/15/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim **1**, Applicant alleges that *Borella* does not disclose transmitting a subsequent message from a first node upon receipt of a reply from a second node as claimed.

However, as provided in the previous Office Action, *Borella* teaches the transmission of subsequent data segments upon receiving ACK signals (reply) from the second network device 16 (second node) of Figure 1 as spoken of on column 9, lines 44-49. In other words, the increasing of the congestion window in response to an ACK packet (as noted by Applicant) allows subsequent data segments to be sent. Therefore, it is held that *Borella* anticipates this limitation.

Art Unit: 2619

Regarding claim 1, Applicant also alleges that *Borella* does not disclose transmitting a subsequent message from a first node a second node based upon a comparison of the elapsed time of transmission of a prior message with an elapsed time threshold as claimed.

However, as claims 1, 7, and 9 each use "alternative language (e.g. "or") in the recitation of transmitting a subsequent message, it is held that the ACK teachings of *Borella* satisfy the anticipation of these claims. Specifically, in claim 1 for instance, step (d) recites "transmitting a subsequent message from the first node upon receipt of a reply from the second node or upon the elapsed time of transmission of the message exceeding an elapsed time threshold". According to this limitation, a subsequent message is transmitted when either of these conditions are met. Therefore, *Borella* anticipates these claims.

Further, as provided in the previous Office Action (see claim 12), *Borella* also teaches the retransmission of unacknowledged packets (subsequent messages) upon a time out (elapsed time threshold) of the first network device 14 as spoken of on column 9, lines 54-63. Thus, if the other condition of step (d) is taken into account, *Borella* provides this teaching as well.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Seddigh et al. (U.S. 7,035,214) and Aweya et al. (U.S. 7,047,312) are additional references considered pertinent to this application.

Art Unit: 2619

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Moore, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3168. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30am - 4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing F. Chan can be reached at (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2619

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael J. Moore, Jr.
Examiner
Art Unit 2619

mjm MM


WING CHAN 10/26/07
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER